



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/791,279 | 03/03/2004 | Theodor Stern | 26041 | 8931 |
| 20529 | 7590 | 07/01/2009 | EXAMINER | |
| THE NATH LAW GROUP 112 South West Street Alexandria, VA 22314 | | | VAKILI, ZOHREH | |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| | 1614 | | | |
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | | |
| 07/01/2009 | PAPER | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/791,279 | STERN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | ZOHREH VAKILI | 1614 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ZOHREH VAKILI. (3) Susanne Hopkins.

(2) Ardin Marschel. (4) _____.

Date of interview: 09 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: WO 0059556.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's discussed the merits of the rejection over the reference to WO 0059556 with the Examiners. Examiners provided clarification as to the grounds of the rejection and agreed to reconsider the rejections of record in view of Applicant's amendments and/or remarks. Applicant has over come the rejection under 102 in reference to WO 0059556. Applicant discussed and provided clarification directed to glycolide vs. factide.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Zohreh Vakili/
Patent Examiner Art Unit 1614